Mark Anthony Taylor Kalamata Billington Lane Derrington Stafford ST18 9LR Email: <u>mark.anthony.taylor@gmail.com</u> 13 September 2016

How Baron Thomas, the Lord Chief Justice, et al covered up money laundering to Russian in the form of gold bullion trade from Deutsche Bank's offices in Germany.

Dear Sirs,

I read in the Daily Mail today about an operation led by the National Crime Agency to seize materials for an investigation into money laundering within the business of James Stunt. I write to tell you that the investigation and prosecution have been compromised by the following judges: Baron Thomas, Lord Ian Burnett, Lord Charles Haddon Cave and Simon Brown QC. Simon Brown appears to have resigned on 20 May 2016 for his role in these matters. The pattern is what one would expect from a culture of bribery in the High Court and Court of Appeal.

So that I do not repeat myself unnecessarily, I direct you to my website www.shyreman.com which details how these judges allowed Deutsche Bank to evade scrutiny for laundering money to Russia via OTC sales of gold bullion.

The accusation was made in a hearing on the July 16th 2015 under Simon Brown QC. It was repeated in a follow-up hearing under Charles Haddon Cave, materials to the Court of Appeal sat by Ian Burnett, to materials delivered to the Lord Chief Justice, and lately in a letter addressed to the President of the Supreme Court. Those accusations have been entirely vindicated by recent disclosures published by the *New Yorker* magazine which reveal that Deutsche Bank's Russian offices were set up to launder money through London to the tune of \$10 billion.

Should any suspect come to court, they can argue before a jury that the judges involved in the Court of Appeal are guilty of covering up the same class of fraud. Nobody can expect a fair trial when judges conspire to commit the same class of the frauds as the defendants are accused of committing.

If there is any doubt of the legitimacy of these claims then mail Baron Thomas directly and ask any of these questions:

- 1) Did Ian Burnett or Charles Haddon Cave have a *transcript of the hearing* when they dismissed the appeals against Simon Brown for case BM40BM021?
- 2) Did Charles Haddon Cave lie in his own hearing, claiming that UBS's confession to the US DoJ was part of the July hearing under Simon Brown?
- 3) Was Lord Ian Burnett's refusal to chastise Haddon-Cave patently corrupt? How could he give a fair verdict when refusing to study UBS's confession into the matters alleged
- 4) Why did the Lord Chief Justice stonewall the demand to know whether the transcript existed? Such stonewalling is a violation of the Data Protection Act.
- 5) Were the SFO the FCA and the High Court informed that Deutsche Bank faked its gold manipulation audit published on Reuters 19 June 2014 in BM40BM021.
- 6) Does he recognize that Deutsche Bank's settlement in New York for silver and gold price manipulation entirety undermines the verdict of all judges involved, and that Deutsche Bank and all other defendants were proven to have misled all courts?
- 7) Does he accept that news reports in 2016 entirely corroborated accusations of money laundering, accusations he ignored.
- 8) Does he accept that had he acted on the letters sent to him by me, we would have

established Deutsche Bank's money laundering months before the *New Yorker* disclosures and their silver manipulation prior to their settlement in New York.

- 9) Does he believe that had Anshu Jain of Deutsche Bank been forced to testify in Simon Brown's hearing, he would have most certainly incriminated himself for market manipulation and money laundering.
- 10) Does he recognize Simon Brown's decision to issue a restraining order (CRO) against me, on the grounds that demanding Anshu Jain turn up for the hearing for which he applied is a decision that is unjust, unlawful and criminal unexampled in civil litigation.
- 11) Does he recognize that HSBC applied for the CRO prior to the claim from me being filed, and that HSBC was found guilty of laundering Mexican Cartel drugs money, and was incriminated by Deutsche Bank's settlement in New York that named them explicitly as co-conspirator. Does he recognize that this contradicts the boxes ticked by Simon Brown on the CRO document, which claim that the CRO emerged from Simon Brown's volition? Does he recognize that the CRO has proven to be entirely unjust and criminal by the defendants' duplicity?
- 12) Does he recognize that defendants were given opportunity to explain themselves to the Court of Appeal and refused to even deny misleading the courts.
- 13) Does he recognize that the JCIO/JACO could not do a fair job of judging Simon Brown and Charles Haddon-Cave for misconduct when they did not have a transcript of hearing, and refused to study a transcript of hearing?

While we have a Lord Chief Justice entirely undermining the Court of Appeal by granting Deutsche Bank largesse, then neither prosecutor nor defendant can expect a fair trial for such frauds. The solution is for all the judges involved to step down and resign. While the verdicts of my appeal A2/2015/2818 has not been overturned by any dutiful judge, we know the system is in a state of disarray. It is a fundamental principle of law that allocation of resources must be to prioritize the most serious offenders. This is clearly not happening. I suggest the NCA appropriate resources to prosecuting judges for *misconduct in public office* before continuing with their investigations.

Yours sincerely Mark Anthony Taylor